Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 1. General Information - Disclaimers and Assurances

Disclaimers

For guidance related to Annual Professional Performance Review plans, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

The Department will review the contents of each local educational agency's (LEA) Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan as submitted using this online form, including required attachments, to determine if the plan rigorously complies with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Department approval does not imply endorsement of specific educational approaches in an LEA's plan.

The Department reserves the right to request further information from an LEA to monitor compliance with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Each LEA is required to keep detailed records on file for each section of the currently implemented APPR plan. Such detailed records must be provided to the Department upon request. The Department reserves the right to disapprove or require modification of an LEA's plan that does not rigorously adhere to the requirements of Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The Department will not review any attachments other than those required in the online form. Any additional attachments supplied by the LEA are for informational purposes only for the teachers and principals reviewed under this APPR plan. Statements and/or materials in such additional attachments have not been approved and/or endorsed by the Department. However, the Department considers void any other signed agreements between and among parties in any form that prevent, conflict, or interfere with full implementation of the APPR plan approved by the Department. The Department also reserves the right to request further information from the LEA, as necessary, as part of its review of this plan.

If the Department reasonably believes through investigation, or otherwise, that statements made in this APPR plan are not true or accurate, it reserves the right to reject or disapprove this plan at any time and/or to request additional information to determine the truth and/or accuracy of such statements.

APPR Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below

- ☑ Assure that the content of this form represents the LEA's entire APPR plan and that the APPR plan is in compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☑ Assure that a detailed version of the LEA's entire APPR plan is kept on file and that a copy of such plan will be provided to the Department upon request for review of compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☑ Assure that this APPR plan will be posted on the LEA's website no later than September 10th of each school year, or within 10 days after the plan's approval by the Commissioner, whichever shall occur later.
- ☑ Assure that it is understood that this LEA's APPR plan will be posted in its entirety on the NYSED website* following approval.

Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or locally determined if the Optional subcomponent is selected.

Each teacher shall have a Student Learning Objective (SLO) locally determined, consistent with the goal-setting process determined by the Commissioner.

Student Learning Objectives (SLOs)

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLOs shall be used for the required student performance measure for all teachers. The following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO.

MEASURES

SLO measures may be either individually attributed or collectively attributed.

Individually attributed measures

An individually attributed SLO is based on the student population of a course for which the teacher directly contributes to student learning outcomes.

> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

Collectively attributed measures

A collectively attributed SLO is based on a student population across multiple sections of the same course or across multiple courses where more than one teacher either directly or indirectly contributes to student learning outcomes. When determining whether to use a collectively attributed SLO, the LEA should consider:

- identifying which measures and assessments could be used to encourage partnerships or teams where teachers have an opportunity to collectively impact student learning;
- identifying which assessments could be used to help foster and support an LEA's focus on a specific priority area(s);
- the impact on the LEA's ability to make strong and equitable inferences regarding an individual educator's effectiveness; and
- when using multiple measures, the appropriate weight of each measure that reflects individually and collectively attributed results.

> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide linked results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

ASSESSMENTS

Any of the measures above may be used with one or more of the following assessment types.

- **State assessment(s)**; or

Assessment(s) that are selected from the list of State-approved:

- **third party assessments**; or
- **locally-developed assessments** (district-, BOCES- or regionally-developed).

HEDI Scoring Bands

Highly Effective			Effective			Developing		Ineffective												
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
97-100%	93-96%	90-92%	85-89%	80-84%	75-79%	67-74%	60-66%	55-59%	49-54%	44-48%	39-43%	34-38%	29-33%	25-28%	21-24%	17-20%	13-16%	9-12%	5-8%	0-4%

SLO Assurances

Please check the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that the teacher has an SLO as determined locally in a manner consistent with the goal-setting process determined by the Commissioner.
- ☑ Assure that all student growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined locally in a manner consistent with the Commissioner's goal-setting process. Such targets may only take the following characteristics into account: poverty, students with disabilities, English language learner status and prior academic history.
- ☑ Assure that all student growth targets shall measure the change in a student's performance between the baseline and the end of the course.
- ☑ Assure that if a teacher's SLO is based on a small 'n' size population and the LEA chooses not to use the HEDI scoring bands listed above, then the teacher's 0-20 score and HEDI rating will be determined using the HEDI scoring bands specified by the Department in SLO Guidance.
- ☑ Assure that processes are in place for the superintendent to monitor SLOs.
- ☑ Assure that the final Student Performance category rating for each teacher will be determined using the weights and growth parameters specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and the approved APPR plan.
- ☑ Assure that for any SLO based, in part, on the New York State grade four science assessment, beginning in the 2020-21 academic year the SLO will utilize only the remaining assessments.

Common Branch Kindergarten Measures and Assessments

Please indicate below which of the three available measure types will be used for kindergarten teachers, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide linked results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Kindergarten: Measure Type

District- or BOCES-wide

Kindergarten: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure

District- or BOCES-wide results

Kindergarten: Assessment Type(s)

☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

Kindergarten: State or Regents Assessment(s)

☑ ELA Regents
☑ Algebra I Regents
☑ Living Environment Regents
☑ US History Regents

Common Branch Grade One Measures and Assessments

Please indicate below which of the three available measure types will be used for grade one teachers, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide linked results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Grade 1: Measure Type

District- or BOCES-wide

Grade 1: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure

District- or BOCES-wide results

Grade 1: Assessment Type(s)

☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

Grade 1: State or Regents Assessment(s)

☑ ELA Regents
☑ Algebra I Regents
☑ Living Environment Regents
☑ US History Regents

Common Branch Grade Two Measures and Asssessments

Please indicate below which of the three available measure types will be used for grade two teachers, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide linked results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Grade 2: Measure Type

District- or BOCES-wide

Grade 2: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure

District- or BOCES-wide results

Grade 2: Assessment Type(s)

☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

Grade 2: State or Regents Assessment(s)

☑ ELA Regents
☑ Algebra I Regents
☑ Living Environment Regents
☑ US History Regents

Common Branch Grade Three Measures and Assessments

Please indicate below which of the three available measure types will be used for grade three teachers, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide linked results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Grade 3: Measure Type

District- or BOCES-wide

Grade 3: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure

District- or BOCES-wide results

Grade 3: Assessment Type(s)

☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

Grade 3: State or Regents Assessment(s)

☑ ELA Regents
☑ Algebra I Regents
☑ Living Environment Regents
☑ US History Regents

Grade Four

Please identify below whether grade four instruction is common branch or departmentalized; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for grade four teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide linked results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please choose the option that best describes grade four in your LEA.

☑ Common branch

Grade Four (Common Branch) Measure and Assessment(s)

Grade 4: Measure Type

District- or BOCES-wide

Grade 4: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure

District- or BOCES-wide results

Grade Four: Assessment Type(s)

- [x] State or Regents assessment(s)

Grade Four: State or Regents Assessment(s)

- [x] ELA Regents
- [x] Algebra I Regents
- [x] Living Environment Regents
- [x] US History Regents

Grade Five

Please identify below whether grade five instruction is common branch or departmentalized; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for grade five teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide linked results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please choose the option that best describes grade five in your LEA.

☑ Common branch

Grade Five (Common Branch) Measure and Assessment(s)

Grade 5: Measure Type

District- or BOCES-wide

Grade 5: District- or BOCES Measure

District- or BOCES-wide results

Grade 5: Assessment Type(s)

- [x] State or Regents assessment(s)

Grade 5: State or Regents Assessment(s)

- [x] ELA Regents
- [x] Algebra I Regents
- [x] Living Environment Regents
- [x] US History Regents

Grade Six

Please identify below whether grade six instruction is common branch or departmentalized; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for grade six teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide linked results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please choose the option that best describes grade six in your LEA.

☑ Departmentalized - all core subjects use the same measure and assessment(s)

Grade Six (Departmentalized) Measure and Assessment(s)

Grade six departmentalized with uniform measure and assessment(s) across core subjects

Grade 6: Measure Type

School- or program-wide

Grade 6: School- or Program-Wide Measure

School- or program-wide results

Grade 6: Assessment Type(s)

- [x] State or Regents assessment(s)

Grade 6: State or Regents Assessment(s)

- [x] ELA Regents
- [x] Algebra I Regents
- [x] Living Environment Regents
- [x] US History Regents

Grade Seven

Please identify below whether grade seven instruction is common branch or departmentalized; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for grade seven teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide linked results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please choose the option that best describes grade seven in your LEA.

☑ Departmentalized - all core subjects use the same measure and assessment(s)

Grade Seven (Departmentalized) Measure and Assessment(s)

Grade seven departmentalized with uniform measure and assessment(s) across core subjects

Grade 7: Measure Type

School- or program-wide

Grade 7: School- or Program-Wide Measure

School- or program-wide results

Grade 7: Assessment Type(s)

- [x] State or Regents assessment(s)

Grade 7: State or Regents Assessment(s)

- [x] ELA Regents
- [x] Algebra I Regents
- [x] Living Environment Regents
- [x] US History Regents

Grade Eight Measures and Assessments

Please identify below whether grade eight instruction is common branch or departmentalized; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for grade eight teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide linked results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please choose the option that best describes grade eight in your LEA.

☑ Departmentalized - all core subjects use the same measure and assessment(s)

Grade Eight (Departmentalized) Measure and Assessment(s)

Grade eight departmentalized with uniform measure and assessment(s) across core subjects

Grade 8: Measure Type

School- or program-wide

Grade 8: School- or Program-Wide Measure

School- or program-wide results

Grade Eight: Assessment Type(s)

- [x] State or Regents assessment(s)

Grade Eight: State or Regents Assessment(s)

- [x] ELA Regents
- [x] Algebra I Regents
- [x] Living Environment Regents
- [x] US History Regents

High School English Language Arts

Note: Additional high school English courses may be included in the "Other Courses" section.

Please identify below whether all high school ELA teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by grade level; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for high school ELA teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide linked results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please indicate whether grades 9 through 12 ELA teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by grade level.

☑ All high school ELA teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s)

High School ELA (All Grades) Measure and Assessment(s)

High School ELA: Measure Type

School- or program-wide

High School ELA: School- or Program-Wide Measure

School- or program-wide results

High School ELA: Assessment Type(s)

- [x] State or Regents assessment(s)

High School ELA: State or Regents Assessment(s)

- [x] ELA Regents
- [x] Algebra I Regents
- [x] Living Environment Regents
- [x] US History Regents

High School Regents Math

Note: Additional high school math courses may be included in the "Other Courses" section.

Please identify below whether all high school Regents math teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by course; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for high school Regents math teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide linked results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please indicate whether high school Regents math teachers use the same measure or assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by grade level.

☑ All high school Regents math teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s)

High School Regents Math (All Courses) Measure and Assessment(s)

High School Regents Math: Measure Type

School- or program-wide

High School Regents Math: School- or Program-Wide Measure

School- or program-wide results

High School Regents Math: Assessment Type(s)

- [x] State or Regents assessment(s)

High School Regents Math: State or Regents Assessment(s)

- [x] ELA Regents
- [x] Algebra I Regents
- [x] Living Environment Regents
- [x] US History Regents

High School Regents Science

Note: Additional high school science courses may be included in the "Other Courses" section.

Please identify below whether all high school Regents science teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by course; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for high school Regents science teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide linked results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please indicate whether high school Regents science teachers use the same measure or assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by grade level.

☑ All high school Regents science teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s)

High School Regents Science (All Courses) Measure and Assessment(s)

High School Regents Science: Measure

School- or program-wide

High School Regents Science: School- or Program-Wide Measure

School- or program-wide results

High School Regents Science: Assessment Type(s)

- [x] State or Regents assessment(s)

High School Regents Science: State or Regents Assessment(s)

- [x] ELA Regents
- [x] Algebra I Regents
- [x] Living Environment Regents
- [x] US History Regents

High School Regents Social Studies: Measures and Assessments

Note: Additional high school social studies courses may be included in the "Other Courses" section.

Please identify below whether all high school Regents social studies teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by course; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for high school Regents social studies teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide linked results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please indicate whether high school Regents social studies teachers use the same measure or assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by grade level.

☑ All high school Regents social studies teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s)

High School Regents Social Studies (All Courses) Measure and Assessment(s)

High School Regents Social Studies: Measure Type

School- or program-wide

High School Regents Social Studies: School- or Program-Wide Measure

School- or program-wide results

High School Regents Social Studies: Assessment Type(s)

- [x] State or Regents assessment(s)

High School Regents Social Studies: State or Regents Assessment(s)

- [x] ELA Regents
- [x] Algebra I Regents
- [x] Living Environment Regents
- [x] US History Regents

Other Courses

Please identify below the 'other courses' in your LEA; indicate which of the six available measures will be used for for each group of teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide linked results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Complete the following, as applicable, for all 'other teachers' in additional grades/subjects (you may combine into one course listing any groups of teachers for whom the measure and assessment(s) are the same including, for example, "All courses not named above"):

Column 1: lowest grade that corresponds to the course

Column 2: highest grade that corresponds to the course

Column 3: subject of the course

Column 4: measure used

Columns 5-7: assessment(s) used

Follow the examples below to list other courses.

	(1) lowest grade	(2) highest grade	(3) subject	(4) measure	(5-7) assessment(s)
All Other Courses	K	12	All courses not named above	District- or BOCES-wide results	ELA Regents, Algebra I Regents
K-3 Art	K	3	Art	Teacher and course-specific results	Questar III BOCES
Grades 9-12 English Electives	9	12	English Electives	School- or program-wide linked results	All Regents given in LEA

To add additional courses, click "Add Row".

Grade From	**Grade To**	**Subject**	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
K	5	All course	District- or	☑ ELA Regents		

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Other Courses

Grade From	**Grade To**	**Subject**	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
		s not named above	BOCES-wide results	☑ Algebra I Regents ☑ Living Environment Regents ☑ US History Regents		
6	12	All courses not named above	School- or program-wide results	☑ ELA Regents ☑ Algebra I Regents ☑ Living Environment Regents ☑ US History Regents		

Use of the Optional Subcomponent and Student Performance Category Weighting

- If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
- If the Optional subcomponent is used, the percentage of Student Performance category attributed to the Required subcomponent will be locally determined.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used; the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.

Optional Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance measure, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Percentage of Student Performance category to be locally determined if selected.

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the LEA and be a locally selected measure of student growth or achievement based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments.

Options for measures and associated assessments include:

- **Option (A) A second SLO, provided that this SLO is different than that used in the required subcomponent;**
- **Option (B) A growth score based on a statistical growth model, where available, for either State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;**
- **Option (C) A measure of student growth, other than an SLO, based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;**
- **Option (D) A performance index based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;**
- **Option (E) An achievement benchmark on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments; or**
- **Any other collectively bargained measure of student growth or achievement included in the LEA's evaluation plan.**

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used in the Student Performance category for any teacher.

Teacher Observation Category

For guidance on the Teacher Observation category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Teacher Practice Rubric

Select a teacher practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on the observable NYS Teaching Standards.

Rubric Name	**If more than one rubric is utilized, please indicate the group(s) of teachers each rubric applies to.**
Marzano Focused Teacher Evaluation Model	(No Response)

Rubric Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that all observable NYS Teaching Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual observations.
- ☑ Assure that the process for assigning points for the Teacher Observation category will be in compliance with the locally-determined subcomponent weights and overall Observation category score and rating based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☑ Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all classroom teachers in a grade/subject across the LEA, provided that LEAs may locally determine whether to use different rubrics for teachers who teach different grades and/or subjects during the school year as indicated in the table above.
- ☑ Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all observations of a classroom teacher across the observation types in a given school year.

Process for Weighting Rubric Domains/Subcomponents

For guidance on the Teacher Observation category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Please describe the process for weighting the observable domains/subcomponents of the chosen practice rubric (e.g., Domains 1 and 2 will be weighted 30% each and Domains 3 and 4 will be weighted 20% each).

All observable components will be weighted equally and averaged.

Scoring Assurances

Please check each of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that each set of observations (by supervisor/other trained administrator, independent, or peer) will be completed using the selected practice rubric, producing an overall score between 1 and 4. The overall weighted observation score will then be converted into a HEDI rating using the ranges indicated below.
- ☑ Assure that once all observations are complete, the different types of observations will be combined using a weighted average consistent with the weights specified below, producing an overall Observation category score between 0 and 4. In the event that a teacher earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all observations, a score of 0 will be assigned.

Teacher Observation Scoring Bands

The overall Observation score will be converted into a HEDI rating based on locally determined ratings consistent with the ranges listed.

	Overall Observation Category Score and Rating	
	Minimum	Maximum
H	3.5 to 3.75	4.0
E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74
D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74
I	0.00*	1.49 to 1.74

** In the event that an educator earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all observations, a score of 0 will be assigned.*

HEDI Ranges

Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

Please select a minimum value between 3.50 and 3.75 and choose 4.00 as the maximum value for the Highly Effective range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Highly Effective:	3.50	4.00

Please select a minimum value between 2.50 and 2.75 and a maximum value between 3.49 and 3.74 for the Effective range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Effective:	2.50	3.49

Please select a minimum value between 1.50 and 1.75 and a maximum value between 2.49 and 2.74 for the Developing range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Developing:	1.50	2.49

Please choose 0.00 as the minimum value and select a maximum value between 1.49 and 1.74 for the Ineffective range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Ineffective:	0.00	1.49

Teacher Observation Subcomponent Weighting

Required Subcomponent 1: Observations by Principal(s) or Other Trained Administrators
- At least 80% of the Teacher Observation category score

Required Subcomponent 2: Observations by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*
- At least 10%, but no more than 20%, of the Teacher Observation category score

Optional Subcomponent: Observations by Trained Peer Observer(s)
- No more than 10% of the Teacher Observation category score when selected

Please be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

** If the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator. If the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the processes described in that application.*

Please indicate the weight of each observation type and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

Principal/Administrator [Required]	Independent Evaluator(s) [Required]	Peer Observer(s) [Optional]	**Grades and subjects for which Peer Observers will be used**
90%	10%	0% (N/A)	(No Response)

Observation Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that the following elements will not be used in calculating a teacher's Observation category score and rating: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of teacher practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; and/or use of professional goal-setting as evidence of teacher effectiveness. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- ☑ Assure that the length of all observations for teachers will be conducted pursuant to the locally-determined durations.
- ☑ Assure that at least one of the required observations will be unannounced.

Required Subcomponent 1: Observations by Principal(s) or Other Trained Administrators

At least one observation must be conducted by building principal or other trained administrator and at least one of the required observations must be unannounced (across both required subcomponents).

- LEAs may locally determine whether to use more than one observation by principal or other trained administrator.
- Nothing shall be construed to limit the discretion of management to conduct observations in addition to those required by this section for non-evaluative purposes.
- The frequency and duration of observations are locally determined.
- Observations may occur in person or by live or recorded video, as determined locally.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations by principal(s) or other trained administrators, as well as the method of observation, in the table below.

TEACHERS *Indicate whether the number and method selected applies to all teachers or to a subgroup of teachers.*	**SUBGROUP** *If "Subgroup of Teachers" is selected in the previous column, indicate which teachers the number and method selected applies to; otherwise, enter "N/A." For additional subgroups, add another row.*	**UNANNOUNCED Minimum Number of Observations**	**UNANNOUNCED Observation Method**	**ANNOUNCED Minimum Number of Observations**	**ANNOUNCED Observation Method**
Subgroup of Teachers	Tenured Teachers	0	N/A	1	In Person
Subgroup of Teachers	Non-Tenured Teachers	0	N/A	2	In Person

Required Subcomponent 2: Observations by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*

At least one observation must be conducted by impartial independent trained evaluator(s) and at least one of the required observations must be unannounced (across both required subcomponents).

- Impartial independent trained evaluators are trained and selected by the LEA.
- They may be employed within the LEA, but may not be assigned to the same school building as the teacher being evaluated. This could include other administrators, department chairs, or peers (e.g., teacher leaders on career ladder pathways), so long as they are not from the same building (defined as same BEDS code) as the teacher being evaluated.
- LEAs may locally determine whether to use more than one observation by impartial independent trained evaluator(s).
- The frequency and duration of observations are locally determined.
- Observations may occur in person or by live or recorded video, as determined locally.

** If the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator. If the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the processes described in that application.*

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations by impartial independent trained evaluator(s), as well as the method of observation, in the table below.

TEACHERS *Indicate whether the number and method selected applies to all teachers or to a subgroup of teachers.*	**SUBGROUP** *If "Subgroup of Teachers" is selected in the previous column, indicate which teachers the number and method selected applies to; otherwise, enter "N/A." For additional subgroups, add another row.*	**UNANNOUNCED Minimum Number of Observations**	**UNANNOUNCED Observation Method**	**ANNOUNCED Minimum Number of Observations**	**ANNOUNCED Observation Method**
All Teachers (enter 'N/A' in the next column)	N/A	1	In Person	0	N/A

Independent Evaluator Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the teacher(s) they are evaluating.
- ☑ Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the LEA.

Please also check each of the following boxes.

- ☑ Assure that if the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver, the second observation(s) shall be conducted by one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator. See Section 30-3.4(c)(1)(ii)(a) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☑ Assure that if the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver and such waiver contains information that conflicts with the information provided in Task 4 of the LEA's approved Section 3012-d APPR plan, the provisions of the approved waiver will apply. See Section 30-3.4(c)(1)(ii)(b) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Optional Subcomponent: Observations by Trained Peer Observer(s)

If selected, at least one observation must be conducted by trained peer observer(s).

- Peer teachers are trained and selected by the LEA.
- Trained peer teachers must have received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the prior school year.
- Observations may occur in person or by live or recorded video, as determined locally.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced observations by trained peer observer(s), as well as the method of observation, in the table below.
If the optional subcomponent will not be used, please indicate "N/A" for the minimum number and "N/A" for the observation method for both unannounced and announced observations for "All Teachers."

TEACHERS *Indicate whether the number and method selected applies to all teachers or to a subgroup of teachers.*	**SUBGROUP** *If "Subgroup of Teachers" is selected in the previous column, indicate which teachers the number and method selected applies to; otherwise, enter "N/A." For additional subgroups, add another row.*	**UNANNOUNCED Minimum Number of Observations**	**UNANNOUNCED Observation Method**	**ANNOUNCED Minimum Number of Observations**	**ANNOUNCED Observation Method**
All Teachers (enter 'N/A' in the next column)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Peer Observation Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that peer observers, as applicable, will be trained and selected by the LEA.
- ☑ Assure that, if observations are being conducted by trained peer observers, these teachers received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the previous school year.

Category and Overall Ratings

For guidance on APPR scoring, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Category Scoring Ranges

The overall Student Performance category score and the overall Observation category score will be converted into a HEDI rating based on the ranges listed in the tables below.

Student Performance Category

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on the point distribution below.

	Overall Student Performance Category Score and Rating	
	Minimum	Maximum
H	18	20
E	15	17
D	13	14
I	0	12

Teacher Observation

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on locally-determined ranges consistent with the constraints listed below.

	Overall Observation Category Category Score and Rating	
	Minimum	Maximum
H	3.5 to 3.75	4.0
E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74
D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74
I	0.00	1.49 to 1.74

Scoring Matrix for the Overall Rating

The overall rating for an educator shall be determined according to a methodology described in the matrix below.

		Teacher Observation Category			
		Highly Effective (H)	**Effective (E)**	**Developing (D)**	**Ineffective (I)**
Student Performance Category	**Highly Effective (H)**	H	H	E	D
	Effective (E)	H	E	E	D
	Developing (D)	E	E	D	I
	Ineffective (I)	D	D	I	I

Category and Overall Rating Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that each subcomponent and category score and rating and the Overall rating will be calculated pursuant to the requirements specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☑ Assure that it is possible to obtain a zero in each subcomponent.
- ☑ Assure the overall rating determination for a teacher shall be determined according to the evaluation matrix.
- ☑ Assure that a student will not be instructed, for two consecutive school years, by any two teachers of the same subject in the same LEA, each of whom received an Ineffective rating under Education Law Section 3012-d in the year immediately prior to the school year in which the student is placed in the teacher's classroom unless the LEA has a Department-approved waiver from this requirement.

Additional Requirements

For more information on the additional requirements for teachers, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Teacher Improvement Plan Assurances

Please check each of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that the LEA will formulate and commence implementation of a Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) for all teachers who receive an overall rating of Developing or Ineffective by October 1 following the school year for which such teacher's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- ☑ Assure that TIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law, shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas.

Teacher Improvement Plan Forms

All TIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of his/her pedagogical judgment, must include:

1) identification of needed areas of improvement;
2) a timeline for achieving improvement;
3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed; and, where appropriate,
4) differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas.

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the TIP forms that are used in the LEA.

Teacher Improvement Plan July 20 2016.docx

Appeal Assurance

Please check the box below.

☑ Assure the LEA has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.

Appeals

Pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, a teacher may only challenge the following in an appeal to their LEA:

(1) the substance of the annual professional performance review; which shall include the following:

(i) in the instance of a teacher rated Ineffective on the Student Performance category, but rated Highly Effective on the Observation category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;

(2) the LEA's adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d;

(3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents; and

(4) the LEA's issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher improvement plan, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious manner.

Appeals of annual performance reviews shall be limited to those that rate a teacher as “ineffective” or “developing” only. The scope of appeals under Education law 3012-d shall be limited to the following subjects:

1. The school district’s adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law 3012-d;
2. The adherence to the Commissioner’s regulations, as applicable to such review;
3. Compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures applicable to annual professional performance reviews or improvement plans; and
4. The school district’s issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher improvement plan under Education law 3012-d;
5. The substance of the annual professional performance review.

A teacher may not file multiple appeals regarding the same performance review or improvement plan. All grounds for appeal must be raised with specificity within one appeal. Any grounds not raised at the time the appeal is filed shall be deemed null and void.

In an appeal, the teacher has the responsibility of demonstrating a clear and legal right to the relief requested and the responsibility of establishing the facts upon which the petitioner seeks relief.

All appeals must be submitted to the evaluator no later than ten (10) school days of the date when the teacher receives his/her annual professional performance review overall rating. Barring extenuating circumstances, the failure to file an appeal within these time frames shall be deemed a waiver of the right to appeal and the appeal shall be deemed abandoned.

When filing an appeal, the teacher must submit a detailed written description of the specific areas of disagreement over his/her performance review, or the issuance and/or implementation of the terms of his/her improvement plan and any additional documents or materials relevant to the appeal. The performance review and/or improvement plan being challenged must also be submitted with the appeal.

Within ten (10) school days of receipt of an appeal, the evaluator who issued the performance review or was or is responsible for either the issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher’s improvement plan must submit, to the teacher, a detailed written response to the appeal. The response must include any and all additional documents or written materials specific to the point(s) of disagreement that support the evaluator’s response and are relevant to the resolution of the appeal. A meeting, between the evaluator, the teacher and the teacher’s union representation, will take place within five (5) school days of the evaluator’s response to discuss identified areas of disagreement and to attempt to come to a resolution of those issues.

If the parties are unable to come to an agreement, all materials will be submitted to the Superintendent who will convene a Review Team comprised of the Superintendent and independent evaluator within seven (7) school days of the receipt of materials submitted by the teacher and evaluator. The review team will review the facts and evidence submitted by the teacher and evaluator with in seven (7) school days.

At the conclusion of the Review Team fact-finding, the Superintendent has seven (7) school days to provide the teacher with his/her decision based on the merits of the appeal. The decision shall set forth the reasons and factual basis for the determination on each of the specific issues raised in the teacher’s appeal. A copy of the decision shall be provided to the teacher. Such decisions shall be final and binding.

In a case where the Superintendent is the evaluator, the Pulaski Board of Education shall appoint another appeal officer.

No disciplinary action predicated upon ineffective performance shall be taken by the District against a teacher until the appeal process has been concluded.

Training Assurance

Please check the box below.

☑ The LEA assures that all evaluators will be properly trained and lead evaluators will be certified on the below elements prior to completing a teacher's evaluation. Note: independent observers and peer observers need only be trained on elements 1, 2, and 4 below.

Training of Lead Evaluators, Evaluators, Independent Observers, and Peer Observers and Certification of Lead Evaluators

The process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators must include:

1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, including impartial independent observers and peer observers;
2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators;
3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability; and
4) the nature (content) and the approximate duration (how many hours, days) of such training.

Describe the process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators.

The District will utilize training for all evaluators (evaluators, lead evaluators, independent evaluators) by the BOCES. Evaluator training will include training on:
(1) The New York State Teaching Standards, and their related elements and performance indicators

(2) Evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research

(3) Application and use of any methodology as part of an SLO and any optional second measures of student performance used by the LEA to evaluate its teachers

(4) Application and use of the State-approved teacher rubric(s) selected by the LEA for use in evaluations, including training on the effective application of such rubrics to observe a teacher’s practice

(5) Application and use of any assessment tools that the LEA utilizes to evaluate its classroom teachers

(6) Application and use of any locally selected measures of student growth used in the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category used by the LEA to evaluate its teachers

(7) Use of the Statewide Instructional Reporting System

(8) The scoring methodology utilized by the Department and/or the LEA to evaluate a teacher under this Subpart, including the weightings of each subcomponent within a category; how overall scores/ratings are generated for each subcomponent and category and application and use of the evaluation matrix(es) prescribed by the Commissioner for the four designated rating categories used for the teacher's overall rating and their category ratings

(9) Specific considerations in evaluating teachers of English language learners and students with disabilities
The superintendent will ensure that all evaluators participate in annual training and are certified and annually re-certified by the board of education in accordance with state regulations. Any individual who fails to achieve required training or certification or re-certification, as applicable, shall not conduct or complete evaluations. The duration of initial training is one day and the duration for re-certification training is a half-day. The district ensures inter-rater reliability with professional development throughout the year.
Any evaluator who participates in the evaluation of teachers for the purpose of determining an APPR rating shall be fully trained and/or certified as required by Education Law §3012-d and the implementing Regulations of the Commissioner of Education prior to conducting a teacher evaluation and shall be an employee of the District. Such training shall include application and use of the State-approved teacher practice rubric selected by the parties for use in evaluations.
The District will maintain a list of trained certified and re-certified evaluators. This list will be provided to the Association at the beginning of the school year and upon request.

Teacher Evaluation Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide to the teacher their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Teacher Observation category for the teacher's Annual Professional Performance Review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the teacher is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.
- ☑ Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.
- ☑ Assure that teachers will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.
- ☑ Assure that the following prohibited elements listed in Education Law Section 3012-d(6) are not being used as part of any teacher's evaluation: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of teacher practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of teacher effectiveness; any locally-developed assessment that has not been approved by the Department; and any growth or achievement target that does not meet the minimum standards as set forth in regulations of the Commissioner. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- ☑ Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide teachers with their APPR scores and ratings as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.

Assessment Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.
- ☑ Assure that individuals with vested interest in the outcome of their assessments are not involved, to the extent practicable, in the scoring of those assessments.

Data Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.
- ☑ Assure that the LEA provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.
- ☑ Assure scores for all teachers will be reported to NYSED for each subcomponent, as well as the overall rating, as per NYSED requirements.
- ☑ Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.

Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or locally determined if the Optional subcomponent is selected.

Required Student Performance Measures

Student performance for prinicpals may be measured by either a student learning objectives (SLO) or an Input Model where the principal's overall rating shall be determined based on evidence of principal practice that promotes student growth related to the Leadership Standards.

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLO measures may be either individually attributed or collectively attributed.

Individually attributed measures

An individually attributed SLO is based on the learning outcomes of a student population within the principal's building or program.

> Principal and building/program-specific

- **Principal and building/program-specific results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the principal's building/program in the current school year.

Collectively attributed measures

A collectively attributed SLO is based on a student population across multiple buildings/programs of similar grade configuration or across multiple building/programs where the learning activities of one building/program indirectly contribute to student learning outcomes in another building/program. When determining whether to use a collectively attributed SLO, the LEA should consider:

- identifying which measures and assessments could be used to encourage partnerships or teams where there is an opportunity for a collective impact on student learning;
- identifying which assessments could be used to help foster and support an LEA's focus on a specific priority area(s);
- the impact on the LEA's ability to make strong and equitable inferences regarding an individual educator's effectiveness; and
- when using multiple measures, the appropriate weight of each measure that reflects individually and collectively attributed results.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of principals will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of principals' buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

ASSESSMENTS

Any of the measures above may be used with one or more of the following assessment types.

- **State assessment(s)**; or

  Assessment(s) that are selected from the list of State-approved:

- **third party assessments**; or
- **locally-developed assessments** (district-, BOCES- or regionally-developed).

INPUT MODEL

Selection of the Input Model will require:

- a description of the areas of principal practice that will be evaluated;
- a description of how the selected areas of principal practice promote student growth;
- a description of the evidence of student growth and principal practice that will be collected; and
- a description of how the district will use the evidence to differentiate effectiveness resulting in a score from 0 to 20 and ratings of Highly Effective, Effective, Developing, or Ineffective.

Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that for any SLO based, in part, on the New York State grade four science assessment, beginning in the 2020-21 academic year the SLO will utilize only the remaining assessments.
- ☑ For principals evaluated using an SLO, assure that such SLO is determined locally in a manner consistent with the goal-setting process determined by the Commissioner.
- ☑ For principals evaluated using an SLO, assure that all student growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined locally in a manner consistent with the Commissioner's goal-setting process. Such targets may only take the following characteristics into account: poverty, students with disabilities, English language learner status and prior academic history.
- ☑ For principals evaluated using an SLO, assure that all student growth targets shall measure the change in a student's performance between the baseline and the end of the course.
- ☑ For principals evaluated using an SLO, assure that if the principal's SLO is based on a small 'n' size population and the LEA chooses not to use the HEDI scoring bands listed above, then the principal's 0-20 score and HEDI rating will be determined using the HEDI scoring bands specified by the Department in SLO Guidance.
- ☑ For principals evaluated using an input model, assure that all applicable principals will be evaluated using the procedures described herein and approved by the Commissioner.
- ☑ Assure that processes are in place for the superintendent to monitor SLOs and input models.
- ☑ Assure that the final Student Performance category rating for each principal will be determined using the weights and growth parameters specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and the approved APPR plan.

Required Student Performance for Principals

Please choose the option that best describes the required student performance subcomponent for principals in your LEA.

- ☑ The same measure(s) and assessment(s) will be used for all principals
- ☐ Different measure(s) and assessment(s) will be used for different grade configurations/programs

All Principals

Please indicate how student performance will be measured for principals, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s) and assessment(s), as applicable.

Student performance based on a Student Learning Objective (SLO)

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Principal and building/program-specific

- **Principal and building/program-specific results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the principal's building/program in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of principals will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of principals' buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Student Performance based on an Input Model

An input model uses evidence of principal practice that promotes student growth related to the Leadership Standards.

Selection of the Input Model will require:

- a description of the areas of principal practice that will be evaluated;
- a description of how the selected areas of principal practice promote student growth;
- a description of the evidence of student growth and principal practice that will be collected; and
- a description of how the district will use the evidence to differentiate effectiveness resulting in a score from 0 to 20 and ratings of Highly Effective, Effective, Developing, or Ineffective.

All Principals: Measure Type

Student Learning Objective (SLO)

Principal Student Learning Objective

Please indicate the type of SLO that will be used for principals, then choose the corresponding assessment type(s) and assessment(s).

Student performance based on a Student Learning Objective (SLO)

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Principal and building/program-specific

- **Principal and building/program-specific results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the principal's building/program in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of principals will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of principals' buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

Please choose the type of SLO applicable to principals.

District- or BOCES-wide results

Principal Assessments

All Principals: Assessment Type(s)

☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

All Principals: State or Regents Assessment(s)

☑ ELA Regents
☑ Algebra I Regents
☑ Living Environment Regents
☑ US History Regents

HEDI Scoring Bands

Highly Effective			Effective			Developing		Ineffective												
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
97-100%	93-96%	90-92%	85-89%	80-84%	75-79%	67-74%	60-66%	55-59%	49-54%	44-48%	39-43%	34-38%	29-33%	25-28%	21-24%	17-20%	13-16%	9-12%	5-8%	0-4%

Use of the Optional Subcomponent and Student Performance Category Weighting

- If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
- If the Optional subcomponent is used, the percentage of Student Performance category attributed to the Required subcomponent will be locally determined.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used; the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.

Optional Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category,see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Percentage of Student Performance category to be locally determined if selected.

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all programs or buildings with the same grade configuration in the LEA and be a locally selected measure of student growth or achievement based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments.

Options for measures and associated assessments include:

- **Option (A) A second SLO, provided that this SLO is different than that used in the required subcomponent;**
- **Option (B) A growth score based on a statistical growth model, where available, for either State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;**
- **Option (C) A measure of student growth, other than an SLO, based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;**
- **Option (D) A performance index based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;**
- **Option (E) An achievement benchmark on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;**
- **Option (F) Four, five, or six-year high school graduation rates;**
- **Option (G) An input model where the principal's overall rating shall be determined based on evidence of principal practice that promotes student achievement related to the Leadership Standards; or**
- **Any other collectively bargained measure of student growth or achievement included in the LEA's evaluation plan.**

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used in the Student Performance category for any principal.

Principal School Visit Category

For guidance on the Principal School Visit category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Principals' professional performance shall be evaluated based on a State-approved rubric using multiple sources of evidence collected and incorporated into the school visit protocol. Where appropriate, such evidence may be aligned to building or district goals; provided, however, that professional goal-setting may not be used as evidence of teacher or principal effectiveness. Such evidence shall reflect school leadership practice aligned to the Leadership Standards and selected practice rubric.

Principal Practice Rubric

Select a principal practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on ISLLC 2008 Standards.

Rubric Name	**If more than one rubric is utilized, please indicate the group(s) of principals each rubric applies to.**
Marzano School Leader Evaluation Model (2013)	(No Response)

Rubric Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that all observable ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual school visits.
- ☑ Assure that the process for assigning points for the Principal School Visit category will be in compliance with the locally-determined subcomponent weights and overall School Visit category score and rating based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☑ Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the LEA, provided that LEAs may locally determine whether to use different rubrics for a principal assigned to different programs or grade configurations as indicated in the table above.
- ☑ Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all school visits for a principal across the school visit types in a given school year.

Process for Weighting Rubric Domains/Subcomponents

For guidance on the Principal School Visit category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Please describe the process for weighting the observable domains/subcomponents of the chosen practice rubric (e.g., Domains 2 and 3 will be weighted 30% each and Domains 1, 4, 5 and 6 will be weighted 10% each).

All observable components will be weighted equally and averaged.

Scoring Assurances

Please check each of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that each set of school visits (by supervisor/other trained administrator, independent, or peer) will be completed using the selected practice rubric, producing an overall score between 1 and 4. The overall weighted school visit score will be converted into a HEDI rating using the ranges indicated below.
- ☑ Assure that once all school visits are complete, the different types of school visits will be combined using a weighted average consistent with the weights specified below, producing an overall School Visit category score between 0 and 4. In the event that a principal earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all school visits, a score of 0 will be assigned.

Principal School Visit Scoring Bands

The overall School Visit score will be converted into a HEDI rating based on locally determined ratings consistent with the ranges listed.

	Overall School Visit Category Score and Rating	
	Minimum	Maximum
H	3.5 to 3.75	4.0
E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74
D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74
I	0.00*	1.49 to 1.74

** In the event that an educator earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all school visits, a score of 0 will be assigned.*

HEDI Ranges

Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

Please select a minimum value between 3.50 and 3.75 and choose 4.00 as the maximum value for the Highly Effective range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Highly Effective:	3.50	4.00

Please select a minimum value between 2.50 and 2.75 and a maximum value between 3.49 and 3.74 for the Effective range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Effective:	2.50	3.49

Please select a minimum value between 1.50 and 1.75 and a maximum value between 2.49 and 2.74 for the Developing range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Developing:	1.50	2.49

Please choose 0.00 as the minimum value and select a maximum value between 1.49 and 1.74 for the Ineffective range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Ineffective:	0.00	1.49

Principal School Visit Subcomponent Weighting

Required Subcomponent 1: School visits by Supervisor(s) or Other Trained Administrators
- At least 80% of the Principal School Visit category score

Required Subcomponent 2: School visits by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*
- At least 10%, but no more than 20%, of the Principal School Visit category score

Optional Subcomponent: School visits by Trained Peer Principal(s)
- No more than 10% of the Principal School Visit category score when selected

Please be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

** If the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or their designee. If the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the processes described in that application.*

Please indicate the weight of each school visit type and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

Supervisor/Administrator [Required]	Independent Evaluator(s) [Required]	Peer School Visit(s) [Optional]	**Grade configurations for which Peer School Visits will be used**
90%	10%	0% [N/A]	(No Response)

School Visit Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that the following elements will not be used in calculating a principal's school visit category score and rating: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of principal practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; and/or use of professional goal-setting as evidence of principal effectiveness. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- ☑ Assure that the length of all school visits for principals will be conducted pursuant to the locally-determined durations.
- ☑ Assure that at least one of the required school visits will be unannounced.
- ☑ Assure that school visits will not be conducted via video.

Required Subcomponent 1: School Visits by Supervisor(s) or Other Trained Administrators

At least one school visit must be conducted by supervisor or other trained administrator and at least one of the required school visits must be unannounced (across both required subcomponents).

- LEAs may locally determine whether to use more than one school visit by superintendent or other trained administrator.
- Nothing shall be construed to limit the discretion of a board of education or superintendent of schools from conducting additional school visits for non-evaluative purposes.
- The frequency and duration of school visits are locally determined.
- School visits may not occur by live or recorded video.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced school visits by supervisor(s) or other trained administrators in the table below.

PRINCIPALS *Indicate whether the number and method selected applies to all principals or to a subgroup of principals.*	**SUBGROUP** *If "Subgroup of Principals" is selected in the previous column, indicate which principals the number and method selected applies to; otherwise, enter "N/A." For additional subgroups, add another row.*	**UNANNOUNCED Minimum Number of School Visits**	**ANNOUNCED Minimum Number of School Visits**
All Principals (enter 'N/A' in the next column)	N/A	1	1

Required Subcomponent 2: School Visits by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*

At least one school visit must be conducted by impartial independent trained evaluator(s) and at least one of the required school visits must be unannounced (across both required subcomponents).

- Impartial independent trained evaluators are trained and selected by the LEA.
- They may be employed within the LEA but may not be assigned to the same school building as the principal being evaluated. This could include other administrators, department chairs/directors, or peers, so long as they are not from the same building (defined as same BEDS code) as the principal being evaluated.
- LEAs may locally determine whether to use more than one school visit by impartial independent trained evaluator(s).
- The frequency and duration of school visits are locally determined.
- School visits may not occur by live or recorded video.

** If the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or their designee. If the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the processes described in that application.*

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced school visits by impartial independent trained evaluator(s) in the table below.

PRINCIPALS *Indicate whether the number and method selected applies to all principals or to a subgroup of principals.*	**SUBGROUP** *If "Subgroup of Principals" is selected in the previous column, indicate which principals the number and method selected applies to; otherwise, enter "N/A." For additional subgroups, add another row.*	**UNANNOUNCED Minimum Number of School Visits**	**ANNOUNCED Minimum Number of School Visits**
All Principals (enter 'N/A' in the next column)	N/A	0	1

Independent Evaluator Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the principal(s) they are evaluating.
- ☑ Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the LEA.

Please also check each of the following boxes.

- ☑ Assure that if the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver, the second school visit(s) shall be conducted by one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or his/her designee. See Section 30-3.5(c)(1)(ii)(a) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☑ Assure that if the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver and such waiver contains information that conflicts with the information provided in Task 9 of the LEA's approved Section 3012-d APPR plan, the provisions of the approved waiver will apply. See Section 30-3.5(c)(1)(ii)(b) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Optional Subcomponent: School Visits by Trained Peer Principal(s)

If selected, at least one school visit must be conducted by trained peer principal(s).

- Peer principals are trained and selected by the LEA.
- Trained peer principals must have received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the prior school year.
- School visits may not occur by live or recorded video.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced school visits by trained peer principal(s) in the table below.
If the optional subcomponent will not be used, please indicate "N/A" for the minimum number for both unannounced and announced school visits for "All Principals."

PRINCIPALS *Indicate whether the number and method selected applies to all principals or to a subgroup of principals.*	**SUBGROUP** *If "Subgroup of Principals" is selected in the previous column, indicate which principals the number and method selected applies to; otherwise, enter "N/A." For additional subgroups, add another row.*	**UNANNOUNCED Minimum Number of School Visits**	**ANNOUNCED Minimum Number of School Visits**
All Principals (enter 'N/A' in the next column)	N/A	N/A	N/A

Peer Principal School Visit Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that peer principal(s), as applicable, will be trained and selected by the LEA.
- ☑ Assure that, if school visits are being conducted by trained peer principal(s), these principal(s) received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the previous school year.

Category and Overall Ratings

For guidance on APPR scoring, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Category Scoring Ranges

The overall Student Performance category score and the overall School Visit category score will be converted into a HEDI rating based on the ranges listed in the tables below.

Student Performance Category

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on the point distribution below.

	Overall Student Performance Category Score and Rating	
	Minimum	Maximum
H	18	20
E	15	17
D	13	14
I	0	12

Principal School Visit Category

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on locally-determined ranges consistent with the constraints listed below.

	Overall School Visit Category Score and Rating	
	Minimum	Maximum
H	3.5 to 3.75	4.0
E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74
D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74
I	0.00	1.49 to 1.74

Scoring Matrix for the Overall Rating

The overall rating for an educator shall be determined according to a methodology described in the matrix below.

		Principal School Visit Category			
		Highly Effective (H)	**Effective (E)**	**Developing (D)**	**Ineffective (I)**
Student Performance Category	**Highly Effective (H)**	H	H	E	D
	Effective (E)	H	E	E	D
	Developing (D)	E	E	D	I
	Ineffective (I)	D	D	I	I

Category and Overall Rating Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that each subcomponent and category score and rating and the Overall rating will be calculated pursuant to the requirements specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☑ Assure that it is possible to obtain a zero in each subcomponent.
- ☑ Assure the overall rating determination for a principal shall be determined according to the evaluation matrix.

Additional Requirements

For guidance on additional requirements for principals, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Principal Improvement Plan Assurances

Please check each of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that the LEA will formulate and commence implementation of a Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) for all principals who receive an overall rating of Developing or Ineffective by October 1 following the school year for which such principal's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- ☑ Assure that PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law, shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

Principal Improvement Plan Forms

All PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of his/her pedagogical judgment, must include:

1) identification of needed areas of improvement;
2) a timeline for achieving improvement;
3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed; and, where appropriate,
4) differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the PIP forms that are used in the LEA.

Principal Improvement Plan July 20, 2016.docx

Appeal Assurance

Please check the box below.

☑ Assure the LEA has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.

Appeals

Pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, a principal may only challenge the following in an appeal to their LEA:

(1) the substance of the annual professional performance review; which shall include the following:

(i) in the instance of a principal rated Ineffective on the student performance category, but rated Highly Effective on the school visit category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;

(2) the LEA's adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d;

(3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents; and

(4) the LEA's issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious manner.

Appeals of annual performance reviews shall be limited to those that rate a principal as “ineffective” or “developing” only. The scope of appeals under Education law 3012-d shall be limited to the following subjects:

1. The school district’s adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law 3012-d;
2. The adherence to the Commissioner’s regulations, as applicable to such review;
3. Compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures applicable to annual professional performance reviews or improvement plans; and
4. The school district’s issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the principal improvement plan under Education law 3012-d;
5. The substance of the annual professional performance review.

A principal may not file multiple appeals regarding the same performance review or improvement plan. All grounds for appeal must be raised with specificity within one appeal. Any grounds not raised at the time the appeal is filed shall be deemed null and void.

In an appeal, the principal has the responsibility of demonstrating a clear and legal right to the relief requested and the responsibility of establishing the facts upon which the petitioner seeks relief.

All appeals must be submitted to the evaluator no later than ten (10) school days of the date when the principal receives his/her annual professional performance review overall rating. Barring extenuating circumstances, the failure to file an appeal within these time frames shall be deemed a waiver of the right to appeal and the appeal shall be deemed abandoned.

When filing an appeal, the principal must submit a detailed written description of the specific areas of disagreement over his/her performance review, or the issuance and/or implementation of the terms of his/her improvement plan and any additional documents or materials relevant to the appeal. The performance review and/or improvement plan being challenged must also be submitted with the appeal.

Within ten (10) school days of receipt of an appeal, the evaluator who issued the performance review or was or is responsible for either the issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the principal’s improvement plan must submit, to the principal, a detailed written response to the appeal. The response must include any and all additional documents or written materials specific to the point(s) of disagreement that support the evaluator’s response and are relevant to the resolution of the appeal. A meeting, between the evaluator and the principal, will take place within five (5) school days of the evaluator’s response to discuss identified areas of disagreement and to attempt to come to a resolution of those issues.

If the parties are unable to come to an agreement, all materials will be submitted to the Superintendent who will convene a Review Team comprised of the Superintendent and independent evaluator within seven (7) school days of the receipt of materials submitted by the principal and evaluator. The review team will review the facts and evidence submitted by the principal and evaluator within seven (7) school days.

At the conclusion of the Review Team fact-finding, the Superintendent has seven (7) school days to provide the principal with his/her decision based on the merits of the appeal. The decision shall set forth the reasons and factual basis for the determination on each of the specific issues raised in the principal's appeal. A copy of the decision shall be provided to the principal. Such decisions shall be final and binding.

In a case where the Superintendent is the evaluator, the Pulaski Board of Education shall appoint another appeal officer.

No disciplinary action predicated upon ineffective performance shall be taken by the District against a principal until the appeal process has been concluded.

Training Assurance

Please check the box below.

☑ The LEA assures that all evaluators will be properly trained and lead evaluators will be certified on the below elements prior to completing a principal's evaluation. Note: independent evaluators and peer principals need only be trained on elements 1, 2, and 4 below.

Training of Lead Evaluators, Evaluators, Independent Evaluators, and Peer Principals and Certification of Lead Evaluators

The process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent evaluators and peer principals, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators must include:

1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, including impartial independent evaluators and peer principals;
2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators;
3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability; and
4) the nature (content) and the approximate duration (how many hours, days) of such training.

Describe the process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent evaluators and peer principals, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators.

The District will utilize training for all evaluators (evaluators, lead evaluators, independent evaluators) by the BOCES. Evaluator training will include training on:
(1) The Leadership Standards and their related functions, as applicable

(2) Evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research

(3) Application and use of any methodology as part of an SLO and any optional second measures of student performance used by the LEA to evaluate its principals

(4) Application and use of the State-approved principal rubric(s) selected by the LEA for use in evaluations, including training on the effective application of such rubrics to observe a principal’s practice

(5) Application and use of any assessment tools that the LEA utilizes to evaluate its building principals

(6) Application and use of any locally selected measures of student growth used in the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category used by the LEA to evaluate its principals

(7) Use of the Statewide Instructional Reporting System

(8) The scoring methodology utilized by the Department and/or the LEA to evaluate a principal under this Subpart, including the weightings of each subcomponent within a category; how overall scores/ratings are generated for each subcomponent and category and application and use of the evaluation matrix(es) prescribed by the Commissioner for the four designated rating categories used for the principal's overall rating and their category ratings

(9) Specific considerations in evaluating principals of English language learners and students with disabilities. The superintendent will ensure that all evaluators participate in annual training and are certified and annually re-certified by the board of education in accordance with state regulations. Any individual who fails to achieve required training or certification or re-certification, as applicable, shall not conduct or complete evaluations. The duration of initial training is one day and the duration for re-certification training is a half-day. The district ensures inter-rater reliability with professional development throughout the year.
Any evaluator who participates in the evaluation of principals for the purpose of determining an APPR rating shall be fully trained and/or certified as required by Education Law §3012-d and the implementing Regulations of the Commissioner of Education prior to conducting a principal evaluation and shall be an employee of the District. Such training shall include application and use of the State-approved principal practice rubric selected by the parties for use in evaluations.
The District will maintain a list of trained certified and re-certified evaluators.

Principal Evaluation Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide to the principal their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Principal School Visit category for the principal's Annual Professional Performance Review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the principal is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured.
- ☑ Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.
- ☑ Assure that principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.
- ☑ Assure that the following prohibited elements listed in Education Law Section 3012-d(6) are not being used as part of any principal's evaluation: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of principal practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of principal effectiveness; any locally-developed assessment that has not been approved by the department; and any growth or achievement target that does not meet the minimum standards as set forth in regulations of the Commissioner. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- ☑ Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide principals with their APPR scores and ratings as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured.

Assessment Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.
- ☑ Assure that individuals with vested interest in the outcome of their assessments are not involved, to the extent practicable, in the scoring of those assessments.

Data Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.
- ☑ Assure that the LEA provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.
- ☑ Assure scores for all principals will be reported to NYSED for each subcomponent, as well as the overall rating, as per NYSED requirements.
- ☑ Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.

Upload APPR LEA Certification Form

Please Note: SED Monitoring timestamps each revision and signatures cannot be dated earlier than the last revision. To ensure the accuracy of the timestamp on each task, please submit from Task 12 only.

Please obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload your joint certification of the APPR Plan using the "LEA Certification Form" found in the "Documents" menu on the left side of the page.

Pulaski CSD signed certification form.pdf